

Corrigendum

Project : Transformation Consultants for Industrial Area Development at Kalinga Nagar and Panikoili

Issued by : Collector, Jajpur, Odisha

Date : 05/07/2021

S. No.	Reference clause No.	Original Clause					To be read as				
1.	(5), (6), (7) of 1.4 Indicative Schedule of Bidding Process;	<p>Proposal Due Date: 15.06.2021 on or before 3 pm</p> <p>Opening of Technical Bids and Presentation: 16.07.2021 between 9.00 AM and 10.30 AM</p> <p>Opening of Financial Bids: to be communicated subsequently</p>					<p>Proposal Due Date: 28.07.2021 on or before 3.00 pm</p> <p>Opening of Technical Bids and Presentation: 29.07.2021 between 10.00 AM and 11.30 AM</p> <p>Opening of Financial Bids: to be communicated subsequently</p>				
2.	3.1 (f) Eligible Agencies	The bidder should have experience of working with District Mineral Foundation in India (at-least 1 engagement)					<p>Eligible Agencies to be read as:</p> <p>“The bidder should have experience of working with District Mineral Foundation in India or any other Mineral or Mineral Development Corporation or related entity of State or Central government / department in India”</p>				
3.	3.2 (1), (2), (3) Key personnel	S. No.	Key personnel	Length of professional experience	Qualifications	Location	3.2 (1), (2), (3) Key personnel to be read as				
		1	Project Director	15 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Master's degree in management or economics, finance, planning, engineering or 	Off-site	S. No.	Key personnel	Length of professional experience	Qualifications	Location
		1	Project Director	15 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Master's degree in management or economics or finance or 	Off-site					

S. No.	Reference clause No.	Original Clause					To be read as				
					other related field <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experience of working on government consulting assignments for housing and other related projects and leading the team. 					planning or engineering or other related field <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experience of working on government consulting assignments for housing and other related projects and leading the team. 	
		2.	Team lead	8 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Master's degree in management, economics, finance or another related field • Experience of working and leading teams on government consulting projects 	On-site	2.	Team lead	8 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Master's degree in management or economics or finance or another related field • Experience of working and leading teams on government consulting project 	On-site
		3	Supply Chain	6 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Master's degree in management, 	On-site					

S. No.	Reference clause No.	Original Clause					To be read as				
					economics, finance or another related field <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Experience of providing procurement support to government departments 		3	Procurement Expert	6 years	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Master's degree in management or economics or finance or another related field • Experience of providing procurement support to government departments 	On-site
<p>The Client reserves the right to engage a greater number of resources to meet the emerging requirements of the program. In such an eventuality, the additional resources shall be deployed by the consultant at a rate applicable for similar resources and as per the Person-month rates in the financial bid.</p>		<p>Qualification and experience requirement for Position 4 and Position 5 will be as per RFP</p> <p>The Client reserves the right to engage a greater number of resources to meet the emerging requirements of the program. In such an eventuality, the additional resources shall be deployed by the consultant at a rate applicable for similar resources and as per the Person-month rates in the financial bid.</p>									
4.	3.3 Bid Evaluation	<p>The firm will be selected based on combined Techno-Financial evaluation of the proposal in the ratio of 80% (Technical) and 20% (Financial). The financial bids will be evaluated on a score 0 to 20. L1 will get 20 marks and the others will get marks in proportion to L1. Thus, if the quote of L1 is Rs. X and L2 is Rs. Y, then L2 will get $Y/X * 20$ marks.</p>					<p>Modified clause 3.3 for bid evaluation to be read as: The firm will be selected based on combined Techno-Financial evaluation of the proposal in the ratio of 80% (Technical) and 20% (Financial). The financial bids will be evaluated on a score 0 to 20. L1 will get 20 marks and the others will get marks in proportion to L1. Thus, if the quote of L1 is Rs. X and L2 is Rs. Y, then L2 will get $(X/Y) * 20$ marks.</p>				

S. No.	Reference clause No.	Original Clause	To be read as																								
		<p>Commercial bids with less than 70% cost of average lumpsum cost, shall be disqualified. Average lumpsum cost shall be calculated as the average cost of upto four (4) of the lowest bidders, excluding the cost of L1.</p> <p>For example, 4 bidders namely A, B, C and D have quoted Rs 100, 110, 120 and 50 respectively as lumpsum cost. The average lumpsum cost shall be $(100+110+120) / 3 = 110$. The cost quoted by D (Rs 50) is less than 70% of the average cost of Rs 110. Thus, the commercial bid of D shall be rejected. In this case, cost quoted by A (Rs 100) shall be considered as L1.</p> <p>The successful applicant shall be the applicant having the highest combined score. In the event two or more proposals have the same scores in the final ranking, the proposal with the highest technical score should be ranked first.</p>	<p>Commercial bids with less than 70% cost of average lumpsum cost, shall be disqualified. Average lumpsum cost shall be calculated as the average cost of upto four (4) of the lowest bidders, excluding the cost of L1.</p> <p>For example, 4 bidders namely A, B, C and D have quoted Rs 100, 110, 120 and 50 respectively as lumpsum cost. The average lumpsum cost shall be $(100+110+120+50) / 4 = 95$. The cost quoted by D (Rs 50) is less than 70% of the average cost of Rs 95. Thus, the commercial bid of D shall be rejected. In this case, cost quoted by A (Rs 100) shall be considered as L1.</p> <p>The successful applicant shall be the applicant having the highest combined score. In the event two or more proposals have the same scores in the final ranking, the proposal with the highest technical score shall be ranked first.</p>																								
5.	3.4 (1.a) Evaluation Criteria	<p>Annual Average Turnover for the last three (3) years: 10 marks for the bidder with highest average annual turnover. Other bidders shall be awarded prorated marks as per below illustration</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="434 1038 1193 1331"> <thead> <tr> <th>Bidder</th> <th>Avg. Annual Turnover (of Last Three Years)</th> <th>Marks assigned for this Criteria (Max. Marks: 10)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X</td> <td>INR 100 crore (highest average annual turnover)</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Y</td> <td>INR 80 crore</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Z</td> <td>INR 50 crore</td> <td>4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Bidder	Avg. Annual Turnover (of Last Three Years)	Marks assigned for this Criteria (Max. Marks: 10)	X	INR 100 crore (highest average annual turnover)	10	Y	INR 80 crore	5	Z	INR 50 crore	4	<p>Modified Clause 3.4 (1.a) to be read as</p> <p>Annual Average Turnover for the last three (3) years: 10 marks for the bidder with highest average annual turnover. Other bidders shall be awarded prorated marks as per below illustration</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1220 1086 2029 1340"> <thead> <tr> <th>Bidder</th> <th>Avg. Annual Turnover (of Last Three Years)</th> <th>Marks assigned for this Criteria (Max. Marks: 10)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>X</td> <td>INR 3000 crore (highest average annual turnover)</td> <td>10</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Y</td> <td>INR 1500 crore</td> <td>5</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Z</td> <td>INR 1200 crore</td> <td>4</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Bidder	Avg. Annual Turnover (of Last Three Years)	Marks assigned for this Criteria (Max. Marks: 10)	X	INR 3000 crore (highest average annual turnover)	10	Y	INR 1500 crore	5	Z	INR 1200 crore	4
Bidder	Avg. Annual Turnover (of Last Three Years)	Marks assigned for this Criteria (Max. Marks: 10)																									
X	INR 100 crore (highest average annual turnover)	10																									
Y	INR 80 crore	5																									
Z	INR 50 crore	4																									
Bidder	Avg. Annual Turnover (of Last Three Years)	Marks assigned for this Criteria (Max. Marks: 10)																									
X	INR 3000 crore (highest average annual turnover)	10																									
Y	INR 1500 crore	5																									
Z	INR 1200 crore	4																									

S. No.	Reference clause No.	Original Clause	To be read as
		Above requirement shall be demonstrated by submitting audited financial statements for the last three (3) years.	Above requirement shall be demonstrated by submitting audited financial statements for the last three (3) years.
6.	3.4 (1.c) Evaluation Criteria	Experience of working with District Mineral Foundations in India	Modified Clause 3.4 (1.c) to be read as below: Experience of working in the State of Odisha with District Mineral Foundation in India or any other Mineral or Mineral Development Corporation or related entity of State or Central government/ department in India